Optimization strategies for key interfaces of LLZO-based solid-state lithium metal batteries
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Solid-state lithium metal batteries (SSLMBs) are believed to be next-generation energy storage systems owing to their superior safety performance and higher energy density compared with state-of-the-art lithium-ion batteries. Solid-state electrolytes (SSEs), as the most critical component of solid-state batteries (SSBs), determine the performance of the batteries to a large extent. Among different types of SSEs, the garnet-type Li7La3Zr2O12 (LLZO) has an exceptionally high ionic conductivity (10−3 to 10−4 S cm−1) and good chemical stability against lithium metal, providing a fantastic possibility for use in SSLMBs. However, developing usable, accessible LLZO-based SSLMBs is still a difficult task owing to the interfacial issues. This review concentrates on the key interfaces of LLZO-based SSLMBs, including lithium metal/LLZO interfaces, LLZO/cathode interfaces, and internal interfaces of LLZO-based SSEs. The main challenges and corresponding strategies for interface optimization are thoroughly covered. Comprehensive research models and advanced characterization tools deployed and developed to study the aforementioned issues are also outlined. Additionally, effective solutions from the perspective of engineering applications are highlighted. Finally, perspectives for future research are provided to guide the development of LLZO-based SSLMBs.

1. Introduction

Secondary batteries with high energy density and exceptional safety are desperately needed with the surge in electric vehicles and grid energy storage. Currently, lithium-ion batteries are widely used in the electric-vehicle field as a source of power. Lithium-ion batteries assembled with silicon–carbon anodes and high-nickel transition-metal-oxide cathodes have achieved a high energy density of 360 W h kg−1, which is practically at their maximum.1,2 However, this is far from sufficient to match people’s expectations for long-lasting electric equipment. Furthermore, liquid organic electrolytes usually used in lithium-ion batteries have the potential to burn and explode. To overcome the above-mentioned challenges, a new battery system must be developed.

Lithium metal is regarded as the best anode because it possesses the largest theoretical specific capacity of 3680 mA h g−1 and...
the lowest electrode potential of −3.04 V versus the standard hydrogen electrode.3–5 Lithium metal batteries with transition metal oxide cathodes are capable of delivering a high energy density up to 440 W h kg⁻¹. However, lithium metal batteries would raise new security issues such as lithium dendrites piercing membranes and consequently causing short circuits.6,9 Solid-state electrolytes (SSEs), which differ from the liquid organic electrolytes in their density, high modulus and thermal stability, can both avoid the safety risks associated with the liquid organic electrolytes and inhibit the growth of lithium dendrites.10–14 Therefore, solid-state lithium metal batteries (SSLBs) assembled with lithium metal and SSEs have attracted widespread attention.

Inorganic SSEs and polymer SSEs are the two main categories of SSEs. The principal inorganic SSEs are garnet electrolytes, NASICON electrolytes, sulfide electrolytes, oxynitride electrolytes, and halide electrolytes. Among these, Li₇La₃Zr₂O₁₂ (LLZO), the most typical garnet-type SSE, offers the greatest promise for SSLMBs.6,12–15 Since Weppner’s group discovered the cubic-phase LLZO, the ionic conductivity of LLZO has been continuously increased to above 1 mS cm⁻¹, which is comparable to the conventional liquid electrolyte.20,21 In the past ten years, a significant amount of experimental data and simulation findings have revealed that interface issues, including poor interface stability, slow ion transport, and high interface impedance, substantially limit the performance of LLZO-based SSLMBs.22–25 Undoubtedly, understanding and solving the interface problems are indispensable for achieving high-performance, high-safety LLZO-based SSLMBs.

In this review, we concentrate on reviewing the progresses in the key interfaces of LLZO-based SSLMBs including lithium metal/LLZO interfaces, LLZO/cathode interfaces, as well as internal interfaces of LLZO-based SSEs. First, a summary of the origin of interface problems and corresponding strategies is given. Second, advanced characterization techniques and comprehensive research models for studying the aforementioned issues are highlighted. Third, the interface problem solutions from the viewpoint of engineering are discussed. In the end, we provide perspectives for the development of LLZO-based SSLMBs.

2. Lithium metal/LLZO interfaces

LLZO is stable against lithium metal, which is one of the factors driving research into LLZO-based SSLMBs. However, there are still substantial problems with lithium dendrites and high interface impedance at the lithium metal/LLZO interface. High interface impedance would lead to severe polarization and reduce the energy conversion efficiency. Severe lithium dendrites cause short circuits, premature death of battery, and even serious safety issues. Obviously, reducing interface impedance and suppressing lithium dendrites are key issues for developing LLZO-based SSLMBs. For this, the reasons for high interface impedance and lithium dendrites will be discussed in the below section, followed by discussions of the relevant methods.22,26,27

2.1 Causes of large interface impedance

The lithium metal/LLZO interface actually shows point-to-point contacts, mostly due to a lithiophobic Li₂CO₃ layer that grows on the LLZO surface.28–31 The contact angle between LLZO with Li₂CO₃ and molten lithium metal exceeds 90°, showing the non-wetting of LLZO by lithium metal.32 In addition, the lithium metal anode also undergoes significant volume change.
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during dissolution and deposition processes, which results in interface degradation and rising impedance.\textsuperscript{33,34} Removing Li$_2$CO$_3$ and delaying the interface degradation are significant solutions to reduce high interface impedance.

2.2 Causes of lithium dendrite formation

LLZO has a shear modulus as high as 56–61 GPa, which is obviously higher than the required value for preventing dendrite initiation (8.5 GPa).\textsuperscript{35} However, lithium dendrites still grow and even penetrate LLZO during battery cycling.\textsuperscript{36,37} Two widely accepted theories have emerged from in-depth studies on the genesis of lithium dendrites. The first view attributes lithium dendrites to the interfacial non-uniformity associated with micro-structural defect and poor contact of the lithium metal/LLZO interface, which may lead to high local current density and then cause nonuniform lithium deposition.\textsuperscript{38,39} Another theory is that lithium metal deposits directly inside LLZO due to LLZO’s non-negligible electrical conductivity. More crucially, there is a significant enhancement in electronic conductivity close to grain boundaries or flaws. Furthermore, according to theoretical and experimental findings, the elastic modulus at grain boundaries is roughly 50% lower than that at grains, which facilitates the growth of lithium dendrites along grain boundaries.\textsuperscript{40,41} Therefore, these defects could serve as electron conduction channels that allow lithium metal to nucleate and grow more easily.\textsuperscript{42–45}

2.3 Strategies for reducing interface impedance and inhibiting lithium dendrites

Among the various strategies, removing Li$_2$CO$_3$ and adding interlayers are the most effective and popular. The methods for eliminating Li$_2$CO$_3$ include physical polishing, high-temperature calcination, and conversion reaction. The interlayers can be divided into three categories: electron conductive layers, ion conductive layers, and mixed ion/electron conductive layers (MCL).

2.3.1 Removal of Li$_2$CO$_3$

Both physical procedures and chemical approaches can be used to remove Li$_2$CO$_3$. A popular physical technique for eliminating Li$_2$CO$_3$ is mechanical polishing. After sanding and polishing with sandpapers, Li$_2$CO$_3$ on the surface of the Ta-doped LLZO (LLZTO) sheet is removed, and the molten lithium metal can be spread on polished LLZTO.\textsuperscript{46} To get rid of surface impurities on LLZTO, Mao et al. adopted an ultra-high-speed mechanical polishing technique. The complete elimination of Li$_2$CO$_3$ was facilitated by the strong centrifugal force produced by high-speed rotation, which restored the lithiophilic properties of LLZTO. The contact impedance was decreased to 28.15 \O\ cm$^2$ due to the extremely clean surface (Fig. 1a).\textsuperscript{47} Although physical polishing is efficient, it cannot completely remove all of the Li$_2$CO$_3$ contaminants inside LLZO. Many researchers choose chemical methods such as high-temperature decomposition and conversion reaction to remove Li$_2$CO$_3$. Guo and colleagues effectively cleaned Li$_2$CO$_3$ inside LLZO by calcination at a high temperature of 900 °C. Li$_2$CO$_3$-free LLZO demonstrated lithiophilic characteristics without surface modification, raising the critical current densities (CCD).\textsuperscript{28} Grey et al. heated air-exposed LLZO under oxygen at 500 °C, achieving complete regeneration of LLZO and low lithium metal/LLZO interfacial resistance (10 \O\ cm$^2$).\textsuperscript{48} Although Li$_2$CO$_3$ can be removed by high-temperature calcination, more lithium volatilization happens concurrently, and additional contaminants are also produced. In addition, high-temperature calcination is generally energy-consuming and time-consuming. It is worth to explore low-temperature treatment to remove Li$_2$CO$_3$.

Utilizing the conversion reaction between Li$_2$CO$_3$ and some substances can easily get rid of Li$_2$CO$_3$ at low temperatures. Since inorganic acids such as HCl, H$_3$PO$_4$, and HF may completely and swiftly react with Li$_2$CO$_3$ at ambient temperature, acid treatment has a potential effect on eliminating Li$_2$CO$_3$.\textsuperscript{49–51} Zhai et al. dropped 40% HF solution to the surface of LLZTO, not only Li$_2$CO$_3$ was removed, but also the LiF/LaF$_3$-rich 3D-burr-microsphere (BM) interface layer was generated on the surface of LLZTO. The 3D-BM interface layer with a large specific surface area showed super-lithiophilicity, enabling the facile infiltration of molten lithium metal (Fig. 1b). The assembled symmetrical cells achieved a high CCD of 2.7 mA cm$^{-2}$ at room temperature and an ultra-low interface impedance of 3 \O\ cm$^2$ (Fig. 1c).\textsuperscript{32} However, these aqueous inorganic acid solutions not only remove Li$_2$CO$_3$ but also corrode LLZTO. In response, Guo et al. developed anhydrous polyphosphoric acid (PPA) to remove Li$_2$CO$_3$ without damaging water-sensitive LLZO. In particular, the formed Li-PPA interlayer not only facilitated the uniform spreading of molten lithium metal but also created a robust electron-blocking shielding to suppress the formation of lithium dendrites.\textsuperscript{52}

In addition to acids, other substances such as NH$_4$F and LiPO$_2$F$_2$ can also undergo conversion reactions with Li$_2$CO$_3$.\textsuperscript{53,54} Fei et al. devised a general modification strategy to convert pollutants on the surface of LLZTO into LiF and Li$_2$PO$_3$F-rich lithiophilic interfaces by performing targeted chemical reactions between LiPO$_2$F$_2$ and Li$_2$CO$_3$/LiOH. The newly formed LiF–Li$_2$PO$_3$F interface layer both facilitated the interface wettability between lithium metal and LLZTO and resisted the corrosion of the LLZTO surface by moisture in the air (Fig. 1d).\textsuperscript{54}

2.3.2 Introduction of interlayers

Although LLZO exhibits the lithiophilic nature after removing the contaminants and the CCD has been improved, it still cannot meet the requirements of rapid charging/discharging of batteries. To address this limitation, the implementation of an interlayer between the lithium metal and LLZTO has been proposed to reduce the interface impedance and suppress lithium dendrites. Li$^+$ and e$^-$ are transported and exchanged at the interface between the electrode and LLZO during the charge–discharge process. Taking into consideration the Li$^+$ and e$^-$ transport capabilities of the interlayer, we categorize the interlayers into three models: electron conductive layer, ion conductive layer, and MCL.

(i) Electron conductive layer. Alloying other metals with lithium metal can improve the anode wettability against LLZO,
effectively reducing the lithium metal/LLZO interfacial impedance. Meanwhile, the alloy can enhance the transport kinetics of Li$^+$ and promote the uniform distribution of Li$^+$ at the interface. Various metals are introduced into lithium metal to form alloy anodes, such as Mg, Sn, Cu, Sb, Au and Sr.\(^55\)\(^-\)\(^60\)

Nevertheless, the alloy anode suffers from massive volume expansion during the charging/discharging cycle. To solve this problem, Chen et al. coated a 3D porous zinc layer (PZL) onto the surface of LLZTO by magnetron sputtering technology and constructed a 3D Li–Zn alloy layer at the LLZTO/lithium metal interface by heating. This 3D Li–Zn alloy interface’s host effect effectively suppressed lithium metal’s volume expansion and improved the interface stability between LLZTO and the 3D alloy anode. Li/LLZTO@PZL/Li symmetric cells achieved a high CCD of 2 mA cm\(^{-2}\) (Fig. 1e).\(^61\)

Although the 3D alloy frame effectively alleviates the volume expansion, it cannot provide capacity due to the inactive frame elements. Besides, the high weight ratio of the inactive frame could significantly reduce the energy density of the SSLMB. Developing a method that can both limit the volume change and reduce the proportion of inactive metals is meaningful. Xia et al. developed a thin Cu$_6$Sn$_5$ artificial interlayer with a thickness of 50 nm by magnetron sputtering, which could simultaneously suppress volume changes and ensure close contact between the lithium metal and LLZO. In addition, the Cu$_6$Sn$_5$ film was very lightweight, and hardly reduced the energy density of the alloy anode. The experimental results indicated that the Cu atom in Cu$_6$Sn$_5$ could reduce the volume change of the alloy anode and inhibit the diffusion of Sn. Thanks to the support of the Li$_2$Cu$_{1-x}$Sn frame, the anode remained in close contact with LLZO during the cycles (Fig. 1f).\(^62\)

(ii) Ionic conductive layers. Alloy interlayers have outstanding lithiophilicity and high electronic conductivity, which may
induce lithium dendrites to grow on the lithium metal or deposit inside LLZO. In contrast, the ionic conductive layer with lithiophobicity and electronic insulation can suppress the lithium dendrites because the lithiophobic interlayer with weak bonding to the Li atom promotes the plane Li atom diffusion along the lithium metal/LLZO interface and suppresses the vertical growth of lithium metal.\textsuperscript{36,37,63,64} Moreover, the low electronic conductivity of the interlayer also prevents the actual potential of LLZO from dropping to 0 0 V, thus suppressing lithium metal deposition inside LLZO.

Zhang et al. constructed a uniform and dense Li$_3$PO$_4$ (LPO) layer on the surface and inside of LLZO through atomic layer deposition (ALD) and a high-temperature annealing process, which could not only improve the air stability of LLZO, but also significantly reduce the interface resistance to 1 0 cm$^2$, thus achieving a high CCD of 2.2 mA cm$^{-2}$ at room temperature. Apart from improving the mechanical strength and Li$^+$ conductivity of grain boundaries, the coated and injected LPO also formed a stable Li$^+$ conductive and electronically insulated solid electrolyte interface between lithium metal and LLZO, thus eliminating lithium dendrites and preventing high-valence elements from being reduced by lithium metal during long cycles (Fig. 1g).\textsuperscript{65} However, the high interface energy between the ion conductive layer and the lithium metal leads to poor interface contact and high interfacial resistance, which needs to be further improved.

(iii) Mixed ionic/electron conductive layers. With the previously discussed interlayers, clearly, it is very hard to construct an interlayer that can both achieve a low interface resistance (lithiophilicity) and a strong dendrite suppression capacity (lithiophobicity). This issue may be solved by combining the lithium alloy and ion conductor to create a MCL. Sun et al. deposited a uniform Cu$_3$N film on the surface of LLZTO pellets, and the Cu/Li$_3$N MCL was in situ formed at the interface between lithium metal anode and LLZTO by reacting molten lithium metal with the Cu$_3$N film at 200 ℃. The interfacial resistance dramatically decreased from 1138.5 to 83.4 0 cm$^2$ at 25 ℃. The Li$_3$N matrix in the MCL provided effectual ion-conducting pathways for Li$^+$, while the well-dispersed Cu nanoparticles guided a homogeneous electric field at the interface. The synergistic effect of the external ionic conductivity and internal electronic conductivity relieved the electron attack to LLZTO, thus suppressing lithium dendrite nucleation. The resulting CCD of MCL-protected LLZTO was as high as 1.2 mA cm$^{-2}$. The batteries exhibited stable cycling over 1000 h with a low overpotential of 30.1 mV under 0.1 mA cm$^{-2}$ (Fig. 2a).\textsuperscript{66} Similar interlayers include MgF$_2$, Al$_2$O$_3$, ZnO, Ta$_2$O$_5$, SnS, SnS$_2$, and Al–Si.\textsuperscript{67–75}

Not only inorganic substances can react with lithium metal to form a MCL, but organic substances can also transport both lithium ions and electrons. Organic layers can establish good interfacial contact and buffer the volume change of the lithium anode since they are soft and flexible.\textsuperscript{78,81} Ren et al. developed lithium naphthalene (Li-Naph[s]) as MCL, which possessed high lithium-ion conductivity of 4.38 mS cm$^{-1}$ and delocalized an electron conductivity of 1.01 mS cm$^{-1}$, fulfilling the interlayer requirements of rapid ion/electron exchange. The consistent composition of the Li-Naph(s) layer aided in the uniform distribution of the electric field, lowered the interfacial impedance, and inhibited the formation and growth of dendrites. The solid-state symmetrical battery assembled with lithium metal modified with Li-Naph(s) cycled for 1200 h at 0.2 mA cm$^{-2}$.\textsuperscript{77}

The effective contact area of two-dimensional (2D) solid–solid contact between lithium metal and LLZO is limited. Compared with 2D interlayers, three-dimensional (3D) interlayers can greatly enlarge the contact area and reduce the local current density. In order to form a 3D interlayer between lithium metal and LLZO, researchers set their sights on constructing multiple-layer LLZTO sheets.\textsuperscript{77,82–85} Hu et al. prepared porous-dense-porous three-layer LLZO sheets by a tape-casting method and coated it with a layer of uniform and continuous carbon nanotubes (CNTs). These CNTs promoted the immersion of lithium metal into the porous structure, forming an ion-electron conductive Li–C anode. Due to the continuous coating of the CNTs and its seamless contact with LLZO, uniform lithium deposition occurred within porous LLZO. The Li–C/LLZO interface impedance was only 25 0 cm$^2$. Symmetrical batteries with Li–C/LLZO enabled long cycling of 200 h at a current density of 1 mA cm$^{-2}$ (Fig. 2b).\textsuperscript{86}

In addition to MCL, the interface layer composed of lithium alloy and pure ionic conductive interphase exhibits a more excellent effect of reducing impedance, suppressing lithium dendrites, and improving CCD. Xia and his group prepared ALN interlayer on the LLZTO surface through magnetron sputtering. When ALN was reacted with lithium metal at 400 ℃ for 15 min, a mixed conductive interphase consisting of Li$_3$Al alloy particles embedded in a Li$_3$N network was formed. Then, Xia et al. diluted Li$_3$Al$_4$ alloy particles into bulk lithium metal by prolonging the annealing treatment time to 120 min, obtaining Li$_3$N/Al-doped lithium metal interphase. Rapid Li atom diffusion was achieved by the resulting Li$_3$N/Al-doped lithium metal interphase, which also had an electron-isolating and ion-conductive property. As a result, a high CCD of 2.6 mA cm$^{-2}$ was achieved by Li$_3$N/Al-doped lithium metal interphase–modified LLZTO. In comparison, a mixed conductive interphase made of Li$_3$Al alloy particles embedded in a Li$_3$N network produced a modest CCD of 1.2 mA cm$^{-2}$ (Fig. 2c).\textsuperscript{79}

MCL containing gradient components is also of interest to researchers. Goodenough \textit{et al.} constructed the gradient interlayer via a self-regulating reaction between lithium metal and AlF$_3$. Due to the significant difference in the interfacial energy between Li/Li$_3$Al$_4$ and Li/LiF (Fig. 2d), a gradient interface layer of LiF–Li$_3$Al was formed from top to bottom after the molten lithium metal reacted with AlF$_3$ (Fig. 2e). The Li$_3$Al alloy in the functional gradient lithium anode (FGLA) significantly reduced the interface impedance, and LiF inhibited lithium dendrites. FGLA dramatically reduced the FGLA/LLZTO interface impedance to 1 0 cm$^2$ and increased the CCD to 3.0 mA cm$^{-2}$ at room temperature (Fig. 2f).\textsuperscript{80} Numerous materials were employed to construct gradient mix ionic/electron conductive layers such as AgF and SnF$_2$.\textsuperscript{76,87} These mix ionic/electron conductive layers are usually generated in situ on the surface of the lithium metal, which can realize the seamless connection among the lithium metal, MCL, and LLZO. The seamless
connection between the ion conductive layer and LLZO plays a role in electron isolation and ion conduction while raising the actual potential of the LLZO surface above 0 V and inhibiting lithium metal deposition within LLZO.

We provide a summary of strategies with the aim to reduce interface resistance and its impact on cell performance (Table 1). These strategies have proven to be effective in decreasing the interface impedance to less than 100 Ω cm$^2$ while increasing the CCD to more than 1 mA cm$^2$. Moving forward, it is imperative to identify efficient, cost-effective materials and interfacial treatment processes that can meet the demands for large-scale market applications for optimizing the anode/SSE interface.

3. Cathode/LLZO interfaces

The immutability of LLZO causes inadequate physical contact between LLZO and the active/inactive cathode components. On one hand, the cathode/LLZO interface contains numerous voids, which impedes ion transfer and increases resistance. On the other hand, battery cycling exacerbates the deterioration of this poor interfacial contact.$^{88,89}$ Aside from poor physical contact, unsatisfactory chemical/electrochemical stability is still a challenge to the cathode/LLZO interface. Li$_2$CO$_3$ impurities may be introduced to the cathode/LLZO interface owing to LLZO’s chemical instability in air. Additionally, cathode active materials (CAMs) have higher potential than LLZO, which causes electrochemical parasitic processes to occur at the cathode/LLZO interface. Meanwhile, local charge depletion or enrichment is frequently caused by the space charge layer at the cathode/LLZO interface, which may impact charge transport.$^{90}$ These problems severely limit the contact stability and ion transport of the cathode/LLZO interface. For a more comprehensive understanding of these issues, the cathode/LLZO interface issues are discussed in this section along with the appropriate solutions.

3.1 Interfacial contact issues and corresponding strategies

Typical methods for solving contact issues include co-sintering techniques, surface coating techniques, soft ionic conductor interlayers, as well as the design of material size and structure.
3.1.1 Co-sintering technology. Through simultaneous sintering of several phases, co-sintering technology is a promising method to improve interfacial contact significantly. However, during the high-temperature treatment, the CAMs could undergo elemental cross-diffusion reactions with LLZO, producing a highly resistive interfacial second phase.91,92 Consequently, it is necessary to optimize the co-sintering procedure. For this, the four directions listed below are developed.

(i) Modification of the sintering atmosphere. Moisture and carbon dioxide in the air would react with LLZO to form high-impedance byproducts during the calcination process. Therefore, the harmful gases should be strictly eliminated during the calcination process. Controlling the sintering atmosphere, such as applying pure oxygen atmosphere, is an effective way to reduce interface resistance.93 However, Kim et al. discovered that the interface of LLZO/CAMs cannot be stabilized under a pure oxygen atmosphere. Because Li diffusion from LLZO-Ga to NCM523 occurred at high temperatures under any atmosphere, resulting in the decomposition of LLZO-Ga into La2Zr2O7. Furthermore, sintering in N2 atmosphere caused LLZO-Ga to NCM523 occurred at high temperatures under any atmosphere, resulting in the decomposition of LLZO-Ga to NCM523 occurred at high temperatures under any atmosphere, resulting in the decomposition of LLZO-Ga to NCM523.94,95

(ii) Adjustment of sintering components. Rohlffing et al. investigated the influence of the composition on the secondary phase formation. They argued that nickel-rich LiNi0.8Co0.1Mn0.1O2 (NCM811) was more stable than LiNiCoMnO2 (NCM111) when co-sintering with LLZTO. They used spin density difference (SDD) plots to value the stability of materials. The charge state of Ni4+ could be clearly seen from the SDD plot where no SDD feature of Ni was observed, indicating that no secondary phase formed (Fig. 3b). The calculations clearly underlined that a cathode material with a low Mn content like NCM811 was more suitable for co-sintering with LLZTO since Mn and Co were easy to exchange with LLZTO’s Zr element.95

(iii) Shortening the sintering time. Rapid thermal annealing process aids in reducing the sintering time, decreasing parasitic reactions, and achieving good cathode/LLZO interfacial contact.96,97 The rapid, high-temperature microwave soldering method created by Hu’s group could quickly form an intact and continuous V2O5 layer surrounding carbon black nanoparticles, resulting in a remarkable 690-time increase in the electronic conductivity of V2O5-based solid-state cathodes. Furthermore, the molten V2O5-based solid-state cathode was conformally soldered to the LLZO sheet, maintaining a tight interface contact without impurities (Fig. 3c).97

(iv) Introduction of additives. Suitable additives and solders can change impurities into an ideal buffer interlayer and lower the sintering temperature. For instance, Wang et al. created an all-ceramic cathode/LLZO interface with low resistance utilizing Li2.1C0.7B0.3O3 as an additive. Li2.1C0.7B0.3O3 could melt at 700 °C and then react with Li2CO3 formed on both LLZO and LiCoO2 (LCO) to create the Li2.3...C0.7B0.3...O3 (LCBO) interphase (Fig. 3d). This interphase-engineered battery significantly increased the electrochemical performance in terms of capacity and cycle life (83 mA h g−1, 100 cycles at 0.05C), whereas the battery without the Li2.3C0.7B0.3O3 sintering additive only delivered a low reversible capacity of 35 mA h g−1 in the first cycle.98 A small amount of CAM may also serve as a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategies</th>
<th>Interfacial resistance (Ω cm²)</th>
<th>CCD (mA cm⁻²)</th>
<th>Cycle stability</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High-speed mechanical polishing</td>
<td>28.15</td>
<td>1.91</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCl treatment</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCl-LiF treatment</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HF treatment</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>12000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SnS treatment</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al-Si interlayer</td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag-LiF interlayer</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu₃N interlayer</td>
<td>83.4</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgF₂ interlayer</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al₂O₃ interlayer</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ta₂O₅ interlayer</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>5200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Li-Naph(s) interlayer</td>
<td>131.8</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAA interlayer</td>
<td>254.5</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AlN interlayer</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>3600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LiF interlayer</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SnF₂ interlayer</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
suitable sintering additive. Wang et al. introduced crystalline LiCoO$_2$ into Li$_{6.54}$La$_{2.96}$Ba$_{0.04}$Zr$_{1.5}$Nb$_{0.5}$O$_{12}$ (LLBZNO). Due to the synergistic effect of Co$^{3+}$, Nb$^{5+}$, and Ba$^{2+}$, the LLBZNO-3%LCO composite SSE exhibited a high ionic conductivity of 0.28 mS cm$^{-1}$ at 25°C. Furthermore, owing to minimal element cross-diffusion, the interface resistance of cathode/LLZO reduced from 121.2 $\Omega$ cm$^2$ to 10.1 $\Omega$ cm$^2$.99

Overall, from the perspective of composition and process, co-sintering technology can powerfully improve interfacial contact while suppressing parasitic reactions at high temperatures through various improved strategies.

### 3.1.2 Surface coating

Surface coating refers to preparing a protective layer on the surface of the target material. The contact area and ion transport channel between the solid-state cathode and LLZO could be increased by coating the LLZO surface with solid-state cathode or coating the solid-state cathode surface with LLZO. The typical method is to grow a thin-film solid-state cathode on the surface of the LLZO sheet through vapor or liquid methods. Pulsed laser deposition (PLD) was used by Abakumov et al. to grow an ultra-thin LCO layer (about 500 nm) on the LLZTO sheet.100,101 Lee et al. developed the spin coating and multi-step heat treatment for the deposition of LCO thin-film cathodes on LLZO particles, ensuring the homogenous deposition of LCO on LLZO particles. With this thin film cathode, an all-solid-state rechargeable battery achieved a high discharge capacity of approximately 100 mA h g$^{-1}$.102 Another common approach is to coat the solid-state cathode surface with LLZO through physical/chemical methods such as mechanical ball milling, sol–gel, and flame-assisted ball-mill coating.103–106 These techniques are effective in adjusting the size of the LLZO layer and guaranteeing the uniformity of the LLZO layer, and the coated cathode exhibits excellent electrochemical performance compared to the pristine one due to the improvement of cathode/electrolyte interfacial contact.107 Laine et al. used LLZO nanopowders (NPs) to modify the LiMn$_{1.5}$Ni$_{0.5}$O$_4$ (LMNO) cathode. The LLZO NPs were well covered on the surface of the LMNO cathode by ball milling without generating apparent agglomeration (Fig. 3e).106

### 3.1.3 Introduction of soft ionic conductors

Another typical approach to improve the interfacial contact is to add soft ionic conductors, such as liquid electrolytes, ionic liquids, plastic crystal electrolytes, polymer SSEs, and in situ gel electrolytes at the solid-state cathode/LLZO interface.108–112 In terms of cost and processing ease, liquid electrolytes are the most advanced soft ionic conductors. However, they frequently react with...
LLZO, decreasing the energy density and thermal stability of the battery. LLZO degradation mechanism was identified by Luo et al. They found that the protic impurities in the fresh liquid electrolyte should be the root cause for the Li⁺/H⁺ exchange. The Li⁺/H⁺ exchange would be accelerated when LiOH captured CO₂ to form Li₂CO₃. Self-assembled monolayers (SAMs) were developed to protect LLZO from liquid electrolytes. SAMs were massive ordered fields of spontaneously assembled molecules that develop through surface adsorption. To grow SAMs on the LLZO surface, the authors utilized acidic molecular solutions containing 4-chlorobenzoic acid (CBA). This protective layer prevented the LLZO surface from absorbing organic decomposition products of the liquid electrolyte (Fig. 4a). With the modification of SAMs, a liquid–solid hybrid battery improved by over 39% in specific energy. Compared to liquid electrolytes, polymer SSEs often have superior electrochemical and thermal stability. Moreover, polymer SSEs can provide an effective buffer against stress build-up. However, polymer SSEs still have a low ionic conductivity, and more works need to be done to increase their oxidative stability. In situ gel electrolytes provide better stability while preserving intimate contact in comparison to liquid electrolytes and polymer SSEs. Zhao et al. thermally polymerized a new in situ gel electrolyte based on PMMA that could combine LLZO with the cathode and greatly simplify the production of SSEs. After optimizing, the SSLMB exerted a capacity retention of 82.6% after 100 cycles at room temperature. In general, the soft ionic conductors show obvious positive effects on optimizing the interface, and future research should concentrate on further improving their chemical stability. In addition, the sulfur cathode is also unstable against LLZO. The development of the interface buffer layer is expected to make the application of LLZO in lithium-sulfur batteries possible. Fichtner et al. designed a gel interlayer based on ionic liquids to improve the interfacial properties. LLZO could successfully confine polysulfides to the cathode and effectively prevent the dissolution of polysulfides to the lithium metal side. The lithium-sulfur SSB delivered an initial discharge capacity of 1360 mA h g⁻¹ and a discharge capacity of 570 mA h g⁻¹ after 100 cycles. Wachsmann et al. developed an innovative gel polymer buffer layer to enhance the stability of the sulfur cathode/LLZO interface. The composite interfacial layer formed by the gel polymer layer and ZnO layer effectively promoted the stability of the cathode–electrolyte interface. By adopting a thin bilayer LLZO architecture (dense/porous) as a SSE and incorporating a high sulfur loading of 5.2 mg cm⁻², the lithium-sulfur battery demonstrated a high initial discharge capacity of 1542 mA h g⁻¹ and maintained an average discharge capacity of 1218 mA h g⁻¹ with 80% capacity retention over 265 cycles.

### 3.1.4 Design of size and structure

It is common knowledge that using smaller LLZO and CAMs will increase the effective contact area and decrease the ion diffusion path. However, the reduced particle size also promotes parasitic reactions, and the additional boundaries may also have adverse effects on ion diffusion. Therefore, achieving a high ion transport rate and good interfacial stability requires the proper size ratio of

---

**Fig. 4** Intrinsic characteristics and optimization strategies of cathode/LLZO interfaces. (a) Schematics of the surface composition of LLZO in liquid electrolytes (LEs) with or without an ultrathin SAMs protection layer (top). Stimulated diffusion process and migration energy barrier profile for Li⁺ diffusion (bottom-left corner). IP indicates initial position, FP indicates final position; Schematics of Li⁺ migration from LE to LLZO sheets with or without the SAM protection layer (bottom-right corner). Reproduced with permission from ref. 108. Copyright 2022 Elsevier. (b) Final packing fraction of the SSE in the vicinity shell for a range of cathode active material primary particle sizes and initial mean diameters. Reproduced with permission from ref. 121. Copyright 2021 Elsevier. (c) Design of interface-based core–shell and hybrid polymer electrolyte (HPE)-enabled Li-ion diffusion. Reproduced with permission from ref. 123. Copyright 2022 Wiley-VCH.
CAM/LLZO particles. Belharouak et al. used primary and secondary active material particles of different sizes to simulate the solid-state cathode packing model (Fig. 4b), and the particle size ratio of CAM/LLZO was optimal between 0.3 and 0.4.\textsuperscript{121}

Reasonable structural design not only increases the effective contact area but also forms a continuous ion/electron transport network within the solid-state cathode. The structures of composite cathodes are commonly constructed by template or infiltration methods. The template method generally involves injecting a cathode precursor and LLZO precursor into a polymer template and then removing the excess template by calcination to form a 3D transport channel.\textsuperscript{122} The percolation method involves the gradual penetration of the LLZO slurry from the upper layer into the internal void of the CAM-casted cathode (Fig. 4c)\textsuperscript{123} or gradual penetration of the CAM-based slurry into the LLZO-casted cathode.\textsuperscript{124} Other contemporary methods of structural manufacturing are also available. Tucker et al. designed a LLZO-supported electrode and designed the engineering structure using phase inversion (PI) and high shear compaction (HSC) processes. A free-standing LLZO with the highest porosity (75.4\%) was obtained after sintering. Then, the NMC cathode suspension was dropped into porous LLZO to form the LLZO-supported cathode.\textsuperscript{125} In addition, Rohlfing and colleagues used continuous layer casting to create the microstructure of a composite cathode. In the composite cathode, the concentration gradient of the CAMs and electrolyte was opposite. Owing to the optimized microstructure, the discharge capacity of the free-standing composite cathode increased to 2.8 mA h cm\textsuperscript{-2}, which was 99\% value of the theoretical capacity.\textsuperscript{126}

3.2 Chemical/electrochemical instability and optimization strategies

Chemical instability frequently causes degradation of the cathode/LLZO interface. Electrochemical instability would cause parasitic reactions. During battery cycling, parasitic reactions accompanied by rapid electron transfer are serious.

In view of chemical instability, the most significant issue is that LLZO is unstable in the presence of air. Due to the formation of Li\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{3} byproducts on the LLZO surface, high resistive interface could form at the LLZO/cathode interface. Different approaches, like rigorous management of the raw materials and atmosphere during the LLZO manufacturing process, have been proposed for eliminating Li\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{3}. At every stage of the production and storage process, it is crucial to strictly manage the amount of CO\textsubscript{2} and H\textsubscript{2}O in the environment. Bilge et al. discovered that removing CO\textsubscript{2} and minimizing H\textsubscript{2}O (g) during sintering could produce good contact at the cathode/LLZO interface without generating a second phase, which was further validated by comparing $\Delta G(T, P_{\text{gas}})$ in various environmental atmospheres (Fig. 5a).\textsuperscript{93}

Because the cathode material’s reactivity with LLZO depends on a number of variables such as the cathode active/inactive material’s components, the crystallinity of CAMs, and the polarization potential, the issue of electrochemical instability is complex. Among the commonly used CAMs, LiMn\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{4} and LiFeO\textsubscript{4} had a higher reactivity with LLZO. LiCoO\textsubscript{2} was relatively stable against LLZO, but it still experienced irreversible electrochemical decomposition at the LiCoO\textsubscript{2}/LLZO contact points when the voltage was above 3 V.\textsuperscript{127} The electrochemical stability was also influenced by LLZO’s crystal structure. La\textsubscript{2}Zr\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{7} and other Li-poor phases were produced when LLZO single crystals disintegrated at 4.1–4.3 V vs. Li/\textit{Li}.\textsuperscript{128} In a solid-state cathode, the electrochemical reaction involves interfacial ion/electron transport between the CAMs, LLZO, and the conductive agents.\textsuperscript{129,130} Electron transfer can be sped up by using carbon conductive additives to lower the electrodes’ contact resistance.\textsuperscript{131} However, the carbon conductive additives reacted with LLZO and yielded byproducts such as CO\textsubscript{2} or Li\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{3}.\textsuperscript{132} Therefore, Brezesinski et al. studied the electrochemical stability between different conductive agents and LLZO. Compared to Ketjen black and Super P, vapor-grown carbon fiber (VGCF) was more successful in controlling interfacial parasitic reactions.\textsuperscript{133} The PVDF binder played a role in improving the solid-state cathode’s ionic conductivity, strength, and electrochemical stability. The solid-state cathode’s electrochemical stability dramatically increased when PVDF content was raised to 7 wt\%. The ionic conductivity, however, declined when the amount of binder was continuously increased.\textsuperscript{134}

Transforming intermediate layers created by parasitic reactions into novel materials with high ionic conductivity is an inventive way to address the chemical/electrochemical problem of the cathode/LLZO interfaces. For instance, Zhang et al. presented an “interfacial homogeneity” technique that allowed Li\textsubscript{2}CO\textsubscript{3} layers to be in situ converted into LiCoO\textsubscript{2} by reacting with Co\textsubscript{3}O\textsubscript{4} (Fig. 5b). The formed LiCoO\textsubscript{2}-LLZTO@LiCoO\textsubscript{2} composite cathode kept 81\% of its initial capacity after 180 cycles at 0.1C when used in SSLMBs.\textsuperscript{135} Additionally, artificial interlayers made of a variety of material components have been constructed between cathodes and electrolytes. The artificial interlayers generally include lithium-containing oxides (Li\textsubscript{3}BO\textsubscript{3}, Li\textsubscript{2}SiO\textsubscript{3}, and Li\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{2}PO\textsubscript{4}), and oxide materials (Nb\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5} and Al\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{3}) that can subsequently be lithiated during electrochemical reactions.\textsuperscript{136 – 141} For example, introducing an in situ lithiated Nb\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{5} layer at the interface of LLZO and LiCoO\textsubscript{2} reduced the charge transfer resistance to approximately 50 0 cm\textsuperscript{-2}.\textsuperscript{139} Ceder and colleagues developed a computational framework concentrating on the ionic conductivity and electrochemical/chemical stability of Li-containing materials. In this research, polyanionic oxides such as Li\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{2}PO\textsubscript{4}, LiTi\textsubscript{2}(PO\textsubscript{4})\textsubscript{3}, and LiPO\textsubscript{3} were found to have the best qualities. (Fig. 5c).\textsuperscript{138} Similarly, Mo et al. provided detailed guidance diagrams for selecting potential compounds that could stabilize the LLZO/NMC interface. They studied the stability between LLZO and the entire Li–M–O ternary composition space (cations M = B, C, N, Al, Si, P, Ti, V, Cr, Zr, Nb, Mo, Zn, Ga, Ge, Ta, or W). The decomposition energy $E_0$ of each composition with LLZO was illustrated by a heatmap of the Li–M–O Gibbs ternary diagram (Fig. 5d). The compositions which were stable with LLZO showed a small magnitude of $|E_0| \leq 0.05$ eV atom\textsuperscript{-1} in the heatmaps. It can be seen that many systems with nonmetal M cations exhibited narrow stable compositional space, indicating poor stability.
with LLZO. In contrast, the material systems of Li–Ti–O, Li–Zr–O, Li–Ta–O, Li–V–O, Li–Ga–O, Li–Ge–O, Li–Sn–O, Li–Cr–O, Li–Mo–O, and Li–W–O possessed a wide stable composition region, suggesting that these materials had the potential to serve as coating layers due to their excellent stability with LLZO.142

4. Interface within LLZO-based SSEs

The LLZO-based SSEs can mainly be divided into two categories: LLZO sheets and LLZO/polymer composite membranes. It is convenient to assemble SSBs directly using LLZO sheets, but the rigid characteristic of LLZO sheets limits their further application. Combining LLZO with polymers is a promising approach. LLZO have high mechanical strength and ion conductivity, while polymer SSEs have better flexibility and can improve interfacial contact. Therefore, compared to individual LLZO sheets or polymer membranes, LLZO/polymer composite membranes have comprehensive advantages in ion conductivity, thermal stability, and flexibility.143–145

4.1 Interface within LLZO sheets

The bulk phase ion conductivity and grain boundary ion conductivity of LLZO both have an impact on the material’s total ion conductivity. The grain boundaries are more reactive to yield byproducts than bulk grains, which raises the grain boundary impedance and prevents ion migration. To solve this problem, densification of electrolytes to reduce grain boundaries and defects, increment of the ion migration rate at grain boundaries, and improvement of component purity to reduce parasitic reactions are performed.

4.1.1 Densification of LLZO sheets. The standard method to produce LLZO sheets involves pressing the mother powder into green sheets and sintering them to yield LLZO sheets.146–148 The sintering procedure, as well as the components of the mother powder, is intimately related to the densification procedure. Therefore, researchers generally adjust the densification effect through these two pathways.149

(i) Optimization of the sintering process. Ye et al. used an ultrafast sintering method based on CO2 laser scanning to prepare LLZTO. A LLZTO film could be quickly densified in 1 s with the help of a heating table (Fig. 6a).150 Recently, Joule heating was developed to control grain growth. By using the Joule heating technique, the particle size distribution of LLZO was discovered to be essentially identical before and after sintering, whereas defects (such as voids and gaps) and impurities were successfully eliminated.151
4.1.2 Adjustment of the crystallinity of grain boundaries. The properties of the space charge layer at the grain boundaries can vary as a result of different crystallinities. According to Xiang et al., the amorphous domains at the grain boundaries created additional vacancies for Li⁺, raising the ionic conductivity of LLZO to 0.6 mS cm⁻¹. This occurrence suggested that adjusting the crystallinity of LLZO could significantly enhance Li⁺ migration [Fig. 6c]. Designing stable amorphous grain boundaries is an important subject since the amorphous structure is generally unstable. Amorphous LLZO electrolytes with high stability were produced via a low-temperature ceramic technique invented by Rupp and colleagues. Furthermore, this low-temperature synthesis technique considerably minimized the parasitic reactions that would take place at high temperatures. In short, changing the crystallinity of grain boundaries is fascinating because it may fundamentally alter the conventional ion conduction mode, considerably increasing the ion conductivity without sacrificing stability.

4.1.3 Component purification. Crucible contamination and Li⁺/H⁺ exchange would produce phases in addition to the cubic LLZO phase. Purifying the LLZO component is possible with suitable doping agents. In dual-doped Li₆.₀₅La₃₋₀.₃Gaₐ₀.₃Zr₁₋₀.₉₅Nb₀.ₙO₁₂, it was found that the doping agents Ga and Nb preferred to occupy the Li position and the Zr site, respectively. Air stability and interfacial resistance were significantly improved in Li₆.₀₅La₃₋₀.₃Zr₁₋₀.₉₅Nb₀.ₙO₁₂. Hydrophobic compounds such as LiF and Li₃PO₄ are frequently employed as additives to hinder the production of Li₂CO₃. A versatile and quick acid treatment approach was suggested by Sun...
et al. To thoroughly eliminate surface Li₂CO₃, the aged LLZTO (LLZTO stored in the air) particles were submerged in a robust HCl solution. Raman signals verified the cleaning effect of fast aid treatment on Li₂CO₃ (Fig. 6d). Integrating advanced purification methods with the battery assembly process will be more efficient and promising in the future.

4.2 Interface within composite polymer electrolytes

The ion transport properties within the composite polymer electrolyte (CPE) interface are dependent on several factors including content, morphology, chemical stability, and coordination effect of the ligand structure.

4.2.1 Adjustment of the content. Ion migration at the interface within the CPE is significantly influenced by the ion transport style, which is affected by the percentage of various components. The CPEs can be divided into two categories: ceramic in polymer (CIP) and polymer in ceramic (PIC), with LLZO ratios ranging from low to high. These different types of CPEs have different pathways for ion transport. According to Hu and colleagues, there were typically two percolation thresholds for PIC with the LLZO content above 80%: one was the long interconnection of the ceramic particles themselves, and the other was the interconnection of the ceramic and polymer interfaces. The polymer phase was typically dominant in lithium-ion transport for CIP with the content of LLZO below 20–30%. The size of the particles and the degree of mixing between the components are two criteria that significantly influence the ion migration paths. LLZO fillers could adjust the degree of crystallinity of the polymer. Polyethylene oxide (PEO) is widely used in CPE because it exhibits good solubility in the polymer matrix. Polyethylene oxide (PEO) polymer matrix. However, due to the brittleness of the ceramic network, common preparation methods like electrospinning have limitations when it concerns the mechanical properties of the various structural networks based on ceramics. Using polymer-reinforced ceramic fibers is an effective way. For instance, LLZO nanoparticles were electrostatically spun onto PVDF polymer nanofibers to create a three-dimensional (3D) network by Zhang et al. (Fig. 7a). The created lightweight, continuously interwoven LLZO-reinforced 3D network had an electrochemical stable window of 5.02 V at 50 °C and a high ionic conductivity of 0.1 mS cm⁻¹ at 50 °C. Dispersing LLZO fillers into a 3D polymer networks is also a useful strategy. Through an in situ polymerization reaction, LLZTO and 3D PAN nanofibers were combined to create a CPE. The CPE provided a high ionic conductivity of 2 mS cm⁻¹, a lithium transfer number of 0.5, and a mechanical strength of 2.85 MPa. In addition to PVDF and PAN, polyimide (PI) is also a common framework material. For example, a 3D fiber network-reinforced CPE was mainly composed of a solid porous PI film matrix, while LLZTO nanoparticles, lithium salts, and polyvinylidenefluoride (PVDF) polymers were used to fill the matrix. The 3D structure of the PI fiber network could maintain uniform dispersion of LLZTO in PVDF.

CPEs have received fresh inspiration from a few intrinsically constructed structures. In the above-mentioned PIC electrolytes, some polymers can be viewed as “additives” to improve the toughness and ion-transport capacities of the bulky ceramic. For instance, a flexible LLZO-based CPE membrane with gaps bridged by styrene-butadiene copolymer (SBC) was created by Hu et al. The top and bottom of each square electrolyte chip in the CPE membrane were exposed, promoting the rapid transport of Li⁺ in the direction perpendicular to the membrane. Meanwhile, the film had a high ductility of 220% and a ultimate tensile strength of 5.12 MPa (Fig. 7b). CPEs with multiple layers show great promise. An asymmetric bilayer CPE was manufactured by an in situ polymerization process, which incorporated a ceramic-rich layer on the anode side and a polymer-rich layer on the cathode side through selective adsorption by glass fiber (GF) separators (Fig. 7c). In order to effectively combine rigidity and flexibility, a suitable structural design is undoubtedly necessary to take full use of CPEs’ performance.
4.2.3 Improvement of the stability of the composite polymer electrolyte. The stability between the constituents of CPEs needs to be taken into account throughout the entire process from production to application because it is a highly complicated system involving inorganic ceramic electrolytes, organic polymers, solvents, and lithium salts. It is important to note that the Li$_2$CO$_3$ impurity issue of LLZO still exists in CPEs. In addition, because the functional groups on the surface of LLZO can trigger polymerization, there exist instability issues between the components of the CPEs.

The passivated Li$_2$CO$_3$ layer has been demonstrated to inhibit interfacial ion transport and lower carrier concentrations within the CPE. The Li$_2$CO$_3$ layer lowers the electropositivity of the LLZO particles, decreasing their ability to bind the anion of the lithium salt. To avoid these problems, Li$_2$CO$_3$ must still be taken off the LLZO surface (section 4.1.3).

Mild and stable organic solvents are needed for CPE membrane production. Huang et al. discovered, however, that all of the solvents interacted with the LLZO electrolytes via Li$^+$/H$^+$ exchange, and the degree of reaction depended on the solvent acidity. Due to the hydroxyl groups, alcohol, acetone, and N-methyl pyrrolidone were acidic. This would cause a violent reaction and alter the lattice characteristics of LLZO electrolytes. Ether compounds and saturated aliphatic hydrocarbons with low acidity were relatively stable against LLZO electrolytes.

The stability of LLZO against the polymer must also be taken into consideration. In a CPE of LLZO and polyethylene glycol, LiOH on the surface of LLZO initiates the polymerization reaction of polyethylene glycol. Competitive coordination structure introduction can minimize contact instability between LLZO and the polymer. For example, Zhang et al. suppressed the reactivity of succinonitrile (SN) and LLZO by utilizing the coordination competition between the nitrile groups of PAN and SN with LLZO. In essence, the mutual promotion mechanism was that SN could improve the Li$^+$ conductivity of PAN, while PAN could protect SN from aggregation. As a result, a long lifespan of over 500 cycles and a high discharge capacity (163 mA h g$^{-1}$ at 0.2C) were achieved.

Fig. 7  Optimization strategies of interfaces within composite polymer electrolytes. (a) Schematic diagram of the preparation of the composite polymer electrolyte with LLZO/h-polymer nanofibers. Reproduced with permission from ref. 169. Copyright 2021 American Chemical Society. (b) Schematic diagram of the methodology for the fabrication of a flexible c-LLZO membrane. Reproduced with permission from ref. 173. Copyright 2019 American Chemical Society. (c) Schematic illustration of the functional effect of GF and LLZTO on Li-metal batteries. Reproduced with permission from ref. 175. Copyright 2022 Elsevier. (d) Schematic illustration of the interparticle Li$^+$ transport in the bulk of the composite electrolyte and calculated CDD at the heterointerface of LLZTO/d-PAN and LLZTO/PAN. Yellow and blue iso-surface represents electron accumulation and depletion regions, respectively. Reproduced with permission from ref. 180. Copyright 2021 American Chemical Society. (e) Schematic diagram of CPE membranes and the paths of ion transport. Reproduced with permission from ref. 181. Copyright 2021 American Chemical Society.
interfacial stability in CPEs is easily overlooked in electrolyte design. Besides, it is necessary to study the stability issue in more microscopic aspects.

4.2.4 Enhancement of the interaction between LLZO and the polymer. The surface energy gap between LLZO and the polymer can block the Li\(^+\) transport path. It was found that the interface between the Al-doped LLZO and the PEO-LiClO\(_4\) electrolytes had an obvious space charge layer, causing a highly resistive interface.\(^{179}\) Fortunately, enhancing the interaction between LLZO and polymers is beneficial for improving ion transfer at the interface within the CPE. Xin et al. found that the Li\(^+\) dissociated from lithium salt was trapped by the CQ C bond of the PAN polymer, and proved that the coordination of Li\(^+\) by the LLZO surface groups and the groups on the polymer chain played an important role in increasing the ionic conductivity of the CPE (Fig. 7d).\(^{180}\) Based on Lewis acid–base theory, Ma et al. introduced nano-sized LLZTO to the PVDF-HFP substrate to activate the Li\(^+\) coordination between PVDF-HFP and nano-sized LLZTO, thus promoting lithium salt dissociation and then increasing the Li\(^+\) carrier density (Fig. 7e).\(^{181}\) Overall, suitable chemical coordination can significantly improve the binding between LLZO and polymers, forming high-speed ion transport channels.

5. Comprehensive research tools

As mentioned above, due to the inherent nature of LLZO, there is a problem of poor contact in multiple interfaces inside the battery. We introduced targeted strategies in the former sections for the above-mentioned issues, and there are also some powerful tools to assist us in gaining a deeper understanding of various interfaces in LLZO-based batteries. Advanced characterization techniques and modeling prediction methods are important foundations for proposing effective strategies. The development of characterization technology for SSLMBs enables us to analyze data in a fundamental perspective. Constructing interface modeling greatly narrows the gap between experimental data and computational predictions. In addition, from the perspective of engineering practice, the problems based on battery interfaces in the practical process have been re-examined, and the design of materials and the regulation of battery stress need to be considered.

5.1 Advanced characterization techniques

In situ detection of solid–solid interfaces is a great challenge. Due to the limitations in signal acquisition depth, traditional characterization tools are difficult to achieve high-resolution and direct observation of SSLMBs. Fortunately, with the development of technology and equipment, there are various non-destructive in situ techniques to observe solid/solid interfaces including in situ SEM observations,\(^{182}\) X-ray computed tomography,\(^{183}\) operando neutron depth profiling,\(^{184}\) and atomic force microscopy.\(^{185}\) Yang et al. traced and monitored the Au/LLZO interface by in situ SEM technique, and found that the intrinsic defects of poly-crystalline electrolytes such as pores, grain boundaries, and impurities were the critical factors that caused non-uniform Li deposition. It emphasized the importance of preparing uniform and dense LLZO electrolytes.\(^{182}\) Winter et al. synthesized a novel enhanced CPE using cross-linked PEO-LiTFSI-Pyr\(_4\)TFSI and LLZO. Combining conventional laboratory techniques and non-destructive synchrotron X-ray tomography, they investigated the synergistic effect of membrane components on homogeneous lithium deposition/dissolution. The X-ray tomography revealed no gap or cavity at the CPE/lithium metal interface, which further suggested an intimate contact between the electrodes and the CPE.\(^{188}\) Using a combination of advanced techniques including valence-electron energy loss spectroscopy (valence-EELS) in in situ high-resolution electron microscopy and spherical aberration-corrected electron microscopy, Chi et al. explored the growth mechanism in lithium dendrite inside LLZO and found that the band gaps of LLZO had large undulations at the grain boundaries. The narrow band gaps at the grain boundaries provided electrons more readily during the cycling of batteries, allowing lithium ions to be reduced to lithium metal (Fig. 8a).\(^{187}\) Hausen et al. studied the interface between ceramic particles and the polymer matrix with a high spatial resolution employing electrochemical strain microscopy (ESM). They analyzed the different signals of different materials in terms of friction, contact resonance frequencies, and amplitude signals in terms of lithium-ion accumulation and conduction region (Fig. 8b). They clarified the significant contribution of ceramic-polymer interaction on the conductivity of CPEs.\(^{188}\)

In addition to the above-mentioned new techniques, there are some new applications of traditional technologies in the research of SSLMBs. For example, Zhang et al. used the distribution of relaxation time (DRT) method to couple the EIS impedance spectrum. The characteristic dynamic process presented obvious peaks in the distribution of relaxation time map, and each time constant represented different dynamic processes present in the impedance, achieving direct analysis of the impedance spectrum.\(^{189}\) Overall, the combination of innovative traditional characterization methods and new detection techniques allows us to gain a deeper understanding of the interfacial characteristics and reaction mechanisms of SSLMBs.

5.2 Model prediction

Chemical and electrochemical reactivity may cause various elements to appear at the interface between the electrolyte and the electrode, resulting in varying degrees of confusion. Various interface models have been developed based on different assumptions about the degree of confusion at the interface.\(^{113,190,191}\) For example, Wood et al. created an efficient and general mesoscopic computational method capable of predicting effective ionic conductivity based on a microstructure of complex polycrystalline oxide-based SSE. They successfully captured the impact of heterogeneity on effective ion conductivity at the atomic and microstructural scales. For the given polycrystalline LLZO microstructure, they established a model to calculate the effective ion diffusion rate in the structure and converted it into ion conductivity, thus achieving the prediction of the electrical properties of LLZO electrolytes.
with different structures (Fig. 8c).\textsuperscript{192} Kim et al. built an interface modeling based on energy band theory, which enabled efficient interface analysis through customized energy band alignment, and this method was perfectly suited to the introduction of LLZO interfacial analysis.\textsuperscript{193} Akhmatskaya et al. proposed a model simulating interfacial lithium-ion distribution and revealing the long-term effects of LLZO surfaces on lithium-ion diffusivity. This model could explain the phenomenon of decreasing conductivity at critical packing fractions well below the theoretical permeation threshold (Fig. 8d).\textsuperscript{191} Machine-learning interatomic potential has also been developed to accurately predict structural and vibrational properties of LLZO, elasticity, capture effects of grain boundary, etc.\textsuperscript{194} These models established by capturing phenomena and extracting feature values could provide more inspiration for the interface optimization of LLZO-based batteries.

6. Engineering design

From the perspective of engineering applications, the performance of LLZO-based SSLMBs is comprehensively influenced by factors of different levels, among which interfacial characteristics are the key influencing factor. In engineering, interface problems are usually solved from two aspects: material optimization and stress control.

6.1 Material optimization

From the perspective of material selection, Guo et al. compared the thickness, area-specific resistance, and energy density of the LiPON, LLZO sheet, and LLZO-based CPE, respectively. They concluded that LLZO sheet-based SSLMBs are suitable for portable electronics, while LLZO-based CPE-assembled SSLMBs are suitable for electric vehicles.\textsuperscript{195,196}

For the interface between cathodes and LLZO, high-potential cathodes may lead to the oxidative decomposition of LLZO. The interaction between lattice oxygen in the fully charged cathode and active substances produced by electrolyte decomposition can generate a large amount of Joule heat, which may lead to catastrophic thermal runaway.\textsuperscript{197} Compared with LiMn$_2$O$_4$ and LiFeO$_4$, LiCoO$_2$ is relatively stable against LLZO electrolytes (see section 3.2). However, Doughty et al. found that although it delivered good capacity, LiCoO$_2$...
exhibited poor thermal stability than Li$_{1.1}$(Ni$_{1/3}$Co$_{1/3}$Mn$_{1/3}$)$_{0.9}$O$_2$, LiMn$_2$O$_4$, and LiFePO$_4$ at high temperatures.\textsuperscript{198} In order to assure the electrochemical stability and compatible safety of LLZO against the cathode, further research needs to be done.

### 6.2 Stress control

The stress change caused by electrode expansion and contraction during the battery cycle is a significant characteristic of LLZO-based SSLMBs. Applying an appropriate amount of external pressure to counteract the stress accumulation might assure the steady operation of the LLZO-based SSLMBs during cycling because stress variations have a noticeable impact on several interfaces of LLZO-based SSLMBs.\textsuperscript{199,200} However, applying external stress also has limitations. On the one hand, excessive external pressure can cause the creep of lithium metal, which may force the lithium metal to pass through the voids of LLZO and contact with the cathode.\textsuperscript{201} On the other hand, external pressure makes it impossible for the electrode volume to expand in a limited space, which can cause local microstructure changes inside the battery, affecting the electrode interface contact.\textsuperscript{202} Researchers have proposed numerous strategies for the rational distribution or compatibility with external pressure. Gallagher et al. introduced a thin gold interlayer of 300 nm between the lithium metal and LLZO. In addition, the application of an external pressure of 0.7 MPa significantly improved the cycle performance.\textsuperscript{203} The authors found that applying appropriate external pressure can help reduce void formation and suppress the decline of discharge capacity. Li et al. found that applying a high stacking pressure of 70 MPa induces creep or plastic deformation of lithium metal at the interface, enhancing interface contact and inhibiting dendrite growth. The effects of the interface design and the pressure are mutual. Therefore, Li et al. further constructed an interlayer containing Mo and Li$_2$S to optimize the interface. The high-hardness metal Mo nanocrystals within the interlayer effectively restrained the growth of lithium dendrites. Simultaneously, the electrically insulating Li$_2$S significantly enhanced interface wettability, impeding the deep penetration of lithium dendrites into LLZO. Under the mutual influence of external pressure and the interlayers, SSLMBs have gained a significantly extended life.\textsuperscript{203} It is evident that various strategies can be paired with the optimized external pressure to achieve a comprehensive optimization of performance.

From an engineering perspective, for a single cell that requires external molds for preload, relative tests need to be considered at the pack level. Moreover, stacking pressure from several megapascals to tens of megapascals is required for pack assembly, which is difficult to achieve in practical applications.\textsuperscript{199,204} The materials used to buffer stress are also constantly being improved. For example, the super-elasticity of shape memory alloy based on stress-induced martensite transformation could fully absorb the considerable volume expansion strain of the electrode. During the recovery process of the electrode, the stress of the shape memory alloy is released.\textsuperscript{205} Notably, due to the need of external pressurization devices for pressure control, the energy density of LLZO-based SSLMB can be reduced. Besides, although laboratory-level pressurization devices can simulate the response characteristics of SSLMBs under different pressure conditions, there are still transferable difficulties in applying the explored laws to engineering practice.

Reducing stacking pressure may be a more practical direction for commercialization. A phase-changeable interlayer was developed to achieve self-adhesive and dynamic conformal electrode/LLZO contact. The strong adhesive strengths of the phase-changeable interlayer enable SSLMBs to resist up to 250 N pulling force, endowing SSLMBs with ideal interfacial integrity without extra stack pressure (Fig. 8e).\textsuperscript{206} Sakamoto et al. designed a composite lithium anode containing lithium metal and carbon nanotubes (CNTs). This composite anode enhanced the effective diffusion of Li$^+$ because CNTs promoted the transport of Li$^+$ at the anode/LLZO interface. Without applying external stack pressure, the SSLMB prepared with this composite lithium anode achieved a discharge capacity of over 20 mAh cm$^{-2}$ at 100 mA cm$^{-2}$.

### Summary and perspectives

Due to its high ion conductivity, large electrochemical window, high shear modulus, and strong chemical stability against lithium metal, the LLZO-based SSEs are one of the most promising materials for SSLMBs. Although the LLZO-based SSLMBs have been developed for decades, there are still issues with the anode/electrolyte interface, cathode/electrolyte interface, and internal interfaces of the LLZO-based SSEs. In this review, we carried out an extensive investigation of the above-mentioned crucial interfaces and outlined the relevant techniques for interface optimization. On this basis, some perspectives are proposed as follows:

1. For the interface of the anode/electrolyte, the growth of the hydrophobic Li$_2$CO$_3$ layer on the LLZO surface is an important inducement for the impedance increase of the battery. While the high electronic conductivity and uneven local current caused by the microstructure defects could promote the growth of lithium dendrites. Therefore, designing precise and efficient integrated methods to remove the Li$_2$CO$_3$ passivation layer while constructing a stable intermediate transition layer of ion/electron conductors is an important direction for optimizing the interface of anodes/electrolytes.

2. For the interface of cathodes/electrolytes, it is necessary to pay attention to the efficient combination of process methods and material screening. Both the co-sintering and surface coating technologies could effectively alleviate the interfacial contact problem. The transition layer of the soft ion conductor is expected to maintain interface stability with the dynamic volume expansion of the electrode during the battery cycling process. Meanwhile, the stability of the material itself and the high compatibility of the intermediate layer are the key points that need to be emphasized in the process of optimizing the interface. The development of advanced calculation methods will provide ideas for efficiently selecting suitable interphase materials.
(3) For the internal interfaces of the SSEs, the barrier of mass transfer caused by the highly active interface of LLZO sheets can be optimized using methods such as electrolyte densification, grain boundary regulation, and material purification. CPEs that balance the advantages of LLZO and polymers are an important development direction in the future, but the problems of non-uniform mass transfer and the heterogeneous stability they face will be highlighted during long cycles. Therefore, it is necessary to design the composition, morphology, and structure of the CPEs to balance the stability of interphase interactions and ion conductivity. Interface modeling combined with ion transport paths and energy variation characteristics will be the key strategies to seek a better balance.

(4) From an engineering perspective, the real-world application of SSLMBs still remains a huge challenge. A deep understanding and comprehensive consideration of various interface issues are crucial for achieving high-performance LLZO-based SSLMBs. In the future, the stability of the internal interface of the battery could be improved by the dynamic balance changes of the electrodes and electrolytes with more elastic buffer capacity. In addition, the advanced design of the system structure will play a positive role in the application of the batteries. Thus, besides the development of the components and structures inside the “internal interface” between the electrode and the electrolyte at the level of the battery, it is crucial to coordinate and optimize the “external interface” between the battery and structural components at the pack level.
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